

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,215	06/19/2001	Kyung-Ju Choi	01-4AAF DN 7985	3783	
27868 7	590 11/21/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
JOHN F. SALAZAR			FORTUNA, ANA M		
MIDDLETON	& REUTLINGER				
2500 BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOUISVILLE	KY 40202	•	1723		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/884,215	CHOI, KYUNG-JU	J			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ana M. Fortuna	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the triple and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed in the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Ju</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)ঊ This	uly 2005. action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19,21,22 and 48-50 is/are pending is/are da) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19, 21-22, and 48-50 is/are rejected to.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate	)-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/884,215 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-19, 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 7, the term "at least one capillary tubes at least two sharp tips" is unclear as to "at least one capillary tube <a href="https://example.com/has-at-least-two-sharp-tips">has-at-least-two-sharp-tips</a>" is intended. In the same claim, the term "and has a diameter" is also unclear as to the whether the term refers to the capillary tube diameter or to the tip(s) diameter.

In claim 22, line 15, the term "capillary tubes in the approximate range of ...3 mm" is unclear as to whether the range refers to the capillary tubes diameter.

Claims 2-19, and 21 are rejected based on the rejection claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code 103 not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action dated 10/06/04, which rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,215

Art Unit: 1723

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/12/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that. All independent claims are amended to include the limitation "sharp tapered tips". Claim 1 as amended does not include the word "tapered". In a close review of reference 6,713,011, the spinneret tip with the claimed diameter and the tip configuration e.g. tapered slit, is disclosed (see Fig. 10 (a), 10 (b), column 4, lines 25-53, and column 12, lines 18-29), more particular element 32). Applicants comments comparing extrusion and electrospinning processes and die configuration do not applied to the applied references, both references discussed in the prior office action are directed to electrospinning, e.g. including fiber formation with voltage application (see reference '011, abstract, column 8, lines 13-30, column 7, lines 1-11). Reference '011 also teaches the production rate, tip (spinneret diameter and fiber diameter; the application to formation of nanofibers made of water soluble polymers in a solvent system including additives (column 7, lines 25-68, column 13, lines 37-68, column 14, second paragraph). Patent 6,716,274 discloses the electrospinning process for water soluble polymer composition with and without crosslinking agents, including PVA, as discussed in the Office action. The combination of references is considered proper because they both show that electrospinning can be applied to water soluble polymer compositions to produce small size fibers with the application of a high voltage and collecting the fibers on a substrate to form a filter medium. The effect on tip diameter and shape in the rate of formation of the fibers,

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/884,215 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

charge distribution and fibers diameter is also disclosed in the references (see. '011, column 9, lines 21-68, though column 10, lines 1-5).

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana M. Fortuna whose telephone number is (571) 272-1141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1723

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ana M Fortuna Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

AF November 08, 2005